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## Q1. Syllabus Covered

Q2.Teachers'preparationfortheclasses

Q3. Teachers' ability of communication
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## Q4. Teachers' approach toteaching
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## Q5. Fairness of internal evaluationprocess
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## Q6. Discussion of performance in assignment with students
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## Q7.Institute'sinterestinpromotinginternship, student exchange, fieldvisit
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## Q8.TeachingandMentoringforcognitive, social & emotionalgrowth
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## Q9. Multiple opportunities to learn and grow
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## Q10. Teachers' information about expected

competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes

Q11. The necessary follow-up with an assigned task

Q12. Teachers' illustration of the concepts through examples and applications

50 70

50

45 40.186

45

40 46.488

*# Percentage*

35

30

25

20

15

10

5

38.326

11.157

3.099 0.93

40 46.074

35

*# Percentage*

30

25

20

15

10

5

0

9.917

2.893

0.93

60

50 61.054

*# Percentage*

40

30

20

10

0

30.579

6.405

1.653 0.31

0

4 3 2 1 0

*# Ratings*

4 3 2 1 0

*# Ratings*

4 3 2 1 0

*# Ratings*

# Page 1 of 2

*# Percentage*

*# Percentage*

*# Percentage*

*# Percentage*

*# Percentage*

*# Percentage*

****

**\*\* Numbers indicated in X-Axis=Response Score and Number on top of bar indicates percentage of students evaluated.**

Q13. Identification of students'strengthand Q14. Identification of students' weakness and Q15. The institute's engagement of students in encouragement remedialmeasures themonitoring,reviewandcontinuousquality

improvement
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Q16. Use of student-centric methods,suchas Q17. Teachers' encouragement ofstudentsto Q18.Institute/Teachersinculcatingsoftskills, experiential learning, participativelearningand participate inextracurricularactivities life skills and employability skillsamong

problemsolvingmethodologies students
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Q19. Percentage of teachers using ICTtoolssuch Q20. Is the overall quality ofteaching-

as LCD projector, Multimedia,etc.while learning process in institute is very good? teaching
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