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## Q1. Syllabus Covered

Q2. Teachers' preparation for the classes

Q3. Teachers' ability of communication
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## Q4. Teachers' approach to teaching
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## Q5. Fairness of internal evaluation process
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## Q6. Discussion of performance in assignment with students
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## Q7. Institute's interest in promoting internship, student exchange, field visit
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## Q8. Teaching and Mentoring for cognitive, social & emotional growth
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## Q9. Multiple opportunities to learn and grow
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## Q10. Teachers' information about expected

competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes

Q11. The necessary follow-up with an assigned task

Q12. Teachers' illustration of the concepts through examples and applications
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**\*\* Numbers indicated in X-Axis=Response Score and Number on top of bar indicates percentage of students evaluated.**

Q13. Identification of students' strength and Q14. Identification of students' weakness and Q15. The institute's engagement of students in encouragement remedial measures the monitoring, review and continuous quality

improvement
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Q16. Use of student-centric methods, such as Q17. Teachers' encouragement of students to Q18. Institute/Teachers inculcating soft skills, experiential learning, participative learning and participate in extracurricular activities life skills and employability skills among

problem solving methodologies students
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Q19. Percentage of teachers using ICT tools such Q20. Is the overall quality of teaching-

as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. while learning process in institute is very good ? teaching
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